CONTRACT INSPEC	TION REPORT	2003/01/2	8 . CTA RDP78B04770A002600	1010017-6	
то:	•		DATE		
CONTRACT ADMINISTRAT	TON 9 CHARTT	73 eronyen	2 February 1966		
BRANCH/PD/OL	TON & SETTLE	EWEWT,	INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final	, so state)	
DIANCII/ I D/ OL			ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE		
·			<u>'</u>		
NAME OF CONTRACTOR			Indefinite	·····	
			,	•	
TYPE					
Equipment and Stud	ies (Series	of Pro	jects under our contract)	-	
THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE			THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REF	MIHTIW MIAN	ALLOCATE
X YES	NO NO		OMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPO	ONSORING OFF	ICE, ON
PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -			REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDIC	CATE MAGNITU	DE OF A
PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -		*:	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
HAC AN INTERIM CEROOT	FINAL DEBOOM	D = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0			
DURING THE PERIOD?	S NO (If	yes, give	, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RÉCEIVED details on reverse side.)	FROM THE CO	NTRACTO
HAS GOVERNMENT OWNED	PROPERTY BEEN DE	LIVERED TO	O CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD?	YES	X NO
(If yes, indicate items, q	uantity, and cos	it on fever	rse side.)	, 	
		INCE	NT I VES		
IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT	YES	No No	NOTE:		
IF YES, CHECK TYPE COST PERFORM	rý)		USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS. FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENT	TIVE EVALUAT	10N.
	····	ELIVERY	<u> </u>		
	OVERALL	PERFORMA	NCE OF CONTRACTOR		
1. OUTSTANDING	3. A	BOVE AVERA	GE 5. BELOW AVERAGE 7	. UNSAT	TISFACTO
2. EXCELLENT	4. 🔲 A	VERAGE	6. A BARELY ADEQUATE		
_					
IF OVERALL PERFORMANC REVERSE SIDE.	E OF CONTRACTOR	IS UNSATI	SFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE. INDI	CATE REASONS	ON
	of projects	docami	bed on reverse.	-	
<u> </u>	or projects		DED ACTION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
X CONTINUE AS PROGRAMME	: n		WITHUOID PAYMENT BENDING		
CONTINUE AS TRUGRAMME	.0		WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE		
TERMINATE			OTHER (Specify)		
	•				
IF TERMINATION IS REC FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFO	OMMENDED OR IF TO COMMENDED OR IF	THIS IS A IFY THAT A	FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVE LL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CO	RSE IN NARŘA NTRACT HAVE	TIVE
RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE.	WHERE APPLICABLE	E, THE FOL	LOWING:		
		Tage			
ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT	ITEM	REC'D	DOES N
PROTOTYPES		1.	MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		<u> </u>
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER			SPECIAL TOOLING		
END ITEMS			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		
DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTR	ACTOR				<u> </u>
31 January	IGNATURE OF INSPECTOR		Declass Review by		
31 January SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR	İ			•	
31 January signature of inspector			NIMA/DOE	•	

MS 1897 PREYIOUS UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

(12-56)

25X1

Apharox Acid Fior Resolution 2003/01/28 : CIA-RDR 78 BRO 477,0 A 00 260001 00 17 16 1

- PAR 207 "DefinitiveStudy of Contact Printers." Final Report due in February. Proceeding satisfactorily.
- PAR 202/224 "Briefing Print Enlarger." Breadboard and tests in final stage. Have waived the final report in order to construct the Prototype which has been authorized as PAR 243. This project is considered outstanding.
- PAR 211 "Microdensitometer Study of Effects of Processing." Final Report received on 1 November 1965. Evaluation completed on 31 January. Considered Unsatisfactory.
- PAR 212 "Color Acquisition System Review Study." Final Report was received 1 November. Evaluation completed 31 January. Considered Barely Adequate.
- PAR 213 "Color Reproduction Systems Review." Final Report was evaluated on 28 December 1965. Considered Below Average.
- PAR 216 "Laser Photographic Exposure." Evaluation of Final Report was completed on 29 October 1965. Considered Unsatisfactory.
- PAR 217 "Optimization of Lasers." Final Report was received on 1 Nov. 1965. Evaluation was completed on 18 January 1966. Considered Unsatisfactory.
- PAR 233 "Zoom Projection Lens." Work has just begun. Visited on 31 January and 1 February 1966. Proceeding satisfactorily.
- PAR 242A "Color Demonstration Materials." Proceeding satisfactorily.
- PAR 243 "Briefing Print Enlarger Prototype." Commenced this project in January 1966. Great expectations!

COMMENTS:

PAR 211, PAR 212, PAR 213, PAR 216 and PAR 217 all appear to be challenges not met by this particular division of _____ They probably should not have been assigned to ____ as projects since so little has been given in return. Recommend we notify ____ of general poor quality of research and reporting, then close out these particular projects as "water under the bridge".

Note: PARs $\underline{214}$ and $\underline{215}$ were delivered and installed in NPIC in October/November 1965. They are still undergoing experimental operations although the PARs are completed.

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1

	Approved For Release 2003/01/28 : CIA-RDP78B04770A002600010017-6
	PAR-216 "Exposure of Photographic materials
	with Jasers"
	21 Ptr Report: Design Objectives submitted.
	Estimated Factory Get:
	31 Jan 64: See MFR, this date, regarding approval of
	all except stem B, "Optimization of the Taxo"
	which will be the subject of a separate study
	3 1 Jan 64: See Memo For Asst for almen, theo date,
	requesting that the Contractor be authorized by
***	TNX, to proceed with this PAR with the
	exepten of stem B.
25X1	3-4 Feb 64; will proceed on this, with the explore of
	etem B, as soon as they receive the message.
25X1	mill also write a separate PAR on item B.
	6 Feb 64: See message dated 6 Feb 64 authoring
	withardor to personal.
	18 Feb 65 Final Report received and distributed according to Plan. 25X1